

1 Jose Garay (SBN 200494)
2 jose@garaylaw.com
3 **JOSE GARAY, APLC**
4 249 East Ocean Boulevard, Suite 814
5 Long Beach, CA 90802
6 Telephone: 949 208 3400
7 Facsimile: 562 590 8400

8 John L. Barber (SBN 160317)
9 john@elitetrialgroup.com
10 **ELITE TRIAL GROUP, LAW FIRM**
11 30950 Rancho Viejo Rd., Ste. 226B
12 San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675
13 Main No.: 949 851 1300
14 Direct No.: 949 767 8505

15 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
16 RUBEN AUDELO, individually and
17 on behalf of himself and others similarly
18 situated

19 (Additional Counsel on Next Page)

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
21 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 RUBEN AUDELO, individually, on
23 behalf of himself and others similarly
24 situated

25 Plaintiff,

26 v.

WEYERHAEUSER NR COMPANY
27 and DOES 1 TO 50,

28 Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00877-DMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
JOINT STIPULATION TO
DISMISS INDIVIDUAL, CLASS
AND REPRESENTATIVE
CLAIMS VIA AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Complaint filed: September 23, 2024

1 Gabriel Huey (SBN 291608)

2 gabriel.huey@klgates.com

3 Stacey Chiu (SBN 321345)

4 stacey.chiu@klgates.com

5 **K&L GATES LLP**

6 10100 Santa Monica Blvd, 8th Floor

7 Los Angeles, CA 90067

8 Telephone: +1 310 552 5000

9 Facsimile: +1 310 552 5001

10 Attorneys for Defendant,
11 WEYERHAEUSER NR COMPANY

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

509221760.1

ORDER GRANTING JOINT STIPULATION
TO DISMISS INDIVIDUAL, CLASS AND
REPRESENTATIVE CLAIMS

1 On March 25, 2025, Plaintiff RUBEN AUDELO (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant
2 WEYERHAEUSER NR COMPANY (“Defendant”) (Plaintiff and Defendant are
3 jointly referred to as the “Parties”) filed a Stipulation to Dismiss Individual, Class,
4 and Representative Claims via Amended Complaint (“Stipulation”). The Court,
5 having reviewed the Stipulation, and good cause appearing, orders as follows:

6 Pursuant to this Stipulation [or the Court’s leave], Plaintiff’s First Amended
7 Class Action and PAGA Complaint is DEEMED AMENDED as follows:

8 1. Plaintiff’s individual claims for (1) failure to implement heat
9 prevention and maintain legal temperature controls (8th Cause of Action), (2)
10 violation of California’s quota laws (12th Cause of Action), and (3) violation of
11 PAGA (14th Cause of Action) against Defendant are dismissed *with prejudice* and
12 related allegations are struck from the FAC;

13 2. The class claims for (1) failure to implement heat prevention and
14 maintain legal temperature controls (8th Cause of Action), and (2) violation of
15 California’s quota laws (12th Cause of Action) against Defendant are dismissed
16 *without prejudice* and related allegations are struck from the FAC; and

17 3. The representative claim for violation of PAGA (14th Cause of Action)
18 against Defendant is dismissed *without prejudice* and related allegations are struck
19 from the FAC.

20 DATED: March 31, 2025

21 
22 ALLISON CLAIRE
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE